

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/821,060	03/29/2001	John Zimmerman	US010076 5337 EXAMINER	
24737	7590 01/11/2005			
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			FISH, JAMIESON W	
P.O. BOX 30 BRIARCLIF	001 FF MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2.1 11.10=			2616	
			DATE MAILED: 01/11/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/821,060	ZIMMERMAN, JOHN	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jamieson W. Fish	2616	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Marc	<u>h 29, 2001</u> .		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under E			
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 3/29/2001 is/are: a) ☐ Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	accepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do		
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	6) Other:	atent Application (FTO-132)	

Application/Control Number: 09/821,060 Page 2

Art Unit: 2616

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on March 29, 2001 and January 21, 2003 have been considered by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims **1-12** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Schlack et al. (US 2002/0129368).
- 4. Regarding claim 1, Schlack teaches a television program profile interface having a multiplicity of axes (See Fig. 17 and Fig. 33 and Paragraphs 177 and 230 Fig. 33 show a Graphical User Interface for a television program profile. Fig. 17 is an example of a graph contained in the interface), comprising: television viewer profile represented by weighted viewer preferences that proportionately change with respect to at least one of a multiplicity of axes (Each genre is a weighted viewer preference that changes proportional with respect to vertical axis).
- 5. Regarding claim **2**, Schlack teaches wherein one of said axes comprises time (See Fig. 17 and Paragraph 177).

6. Regarding claim 3, Schlack teaches wherein said weighted viewer preferences represented by bar graphs (See Fig. 17 and Paragraph 177).

Page 3

- 7. Regarding claim 4. Schlack teaches wherein said weighted viewer preferences are represented by bar graphs (See Fig. 17 and Paragraph 177).
- 8. Regarding claim 5, Schlack teaches wherein said weighted viewer preferences are viewer modifiable (See Paragraph 177 weighted viewer preferences change with respect to viewing time which is viewer modifiable).
- Regarding claim 6, Schlack further teaches means for viewer interaction (See 9. Fig. 33 and Paragraph 230 means for viewer interaction is inherent to a graphical user interface).
- Regarding claim 7, Schlack teaches an interactive, television program profile 10. interface (See Fig. 17 and Fig. 33 and Paragraphs 177 and 230 Fig. 33 show a Graphical User Interface for a television program profile. Fig. 17 is an example of a graph contained in the interface. Viewer interaction is inherent to a graphical user interface) comprising; television viewer profile represented by weighted viewer preferences in graphical form including a plurality of bar graphs (See Fig 33. and Paragraph 230), said bar graphs being viewer changeable (See Paragraph 177 weighted viewer preferences change with respect to viewing time which is viewer modifiable).
- 11. Regarding claim 8, Schlack teaches the interactive, television program profile interface further comprising a multiplicity of axes (See Fig. 17 and Paragraph 177. As shown there are a multiplicity of vertical and horizontal axes.).

- 12. Regarding claim **9**, Schlack teaches wherein one of said axes comprises time (See Fig. 17 and Paragraph 177).
- 13. Regarding claim **10**, Schlack teaches wherein said weighted viewer preferences are proportionately changeable with respect to said time axis (See Fig. 17 and Paragraph 177 Length of a bar changes with respect to the amount of time a category is viewed).
- 14. Regarding claim 11, Schlack teaches a method of using a television viewer profile interface, comprising the steps of: providing a television viewer profile that changes with time (See Fig. 17 and Fig. 33 and Paragraphs 177 and 230 Fig. 33 show a Graphical User Interface for a television program profile. Fig. 17 is an example of a graph contained in the interface. Length of a bar changes with the amount of time a category is viewed); and modifying said television viewer profile by traversing a time axis (See Fig. 29 and Paragraphs 206 and 207 profile is modified as windows traverse time axis).
- 15. Regarding claim **12**, Schlack teaches a method of using a television viewer profile interface, comprising the steps providing a television viewer profile that changes with time (See Fig. 17 and Fig. 33 and Paragraphs 177 and 230 Fig. 33 show a Graphical User Interface for a television program profile. Fig. 17 is an example of a graph contained in the interface. Length of a bar changes with the amount of time a category is viewed); and modifying said television viewer profile by viewer interaction (See Paragraph 177 weighted viewer preferences change with respect to viewing time which is viewer modifiable by viewer interaction).

Application/Control Number: 09/821,060 Page 5

Art Unit: 2616

16. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure. Schmitt (US 5,983,220) teaches a graphical user interface with

weighted bars that change with proportion to a multiplicity of axes (See Fig. 18).

Conclusion

17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Jamieson W. Fish whose telephone number is 703-305-

0884. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5.

18. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Ngoc Vu can be reached on 703-305-4946. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

19. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JF 12/12/2004

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

THE PROLOGY CENTER 2600